RESEARCH

Open Access



Replacing of sedentary behavior with physical activity and the risk of mortality in people with prediabetes and diabetes: a prospective cohort study

Ping Zhu^{1,2†}, Guojuan Lao^{3†}, Huipeng Li¹, Rongshao Tan², Jing Gu⁴ and Jianmin Ran^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background Sedentary behavior is prevalent among people with diabetes and is associated with unfavorable cardiometabolic health. However, there is limited evidence regarding the impact of replacing sedentary time (ST) with physical activity on mortality in people with prediabetes and diabetes. We prospectively examined the association between accelerometer-measured ST and mortality among people with prediabetes and diabetes after adjusting for demographic characteristics, lifestyle factors, and moderate- to vigorous-intensity PA (MVPA). We further determined the effect of replacing ST with equal time of different types of physical activities on all-cause mortality.

Methods We included 1242 adults with prediabetes and 1037 with diabetes from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Restricted cubic splines were fitted to determine the dose–response association between ST and overall mortality. Isotemporal substitution modeling was used to explore the hazard ratio (HR) effects of ST replacement.

Results During a median follow-up of 14.1 years, 424 adults with prediabetes and 493 with diabetes died. Compared with the lowest tertile of ST, the multivariable-adjusted HRs for all-cause mortality in the highest tertile were 1.76 (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.19, 2.60) for participants with prediabetes and 1.76 (1.17, 2.65) for those with diabetes. Additionally, a linear association between ST and all-cause mortality was observed in adults with prediabetes and diabetes, with HRs for each 60 min/day increment in ST of 1.19 (1.10, 1.30) and 1.25 (1.12, 1.40), respectively. Isotemporal substitution results indicated that individuals with prediabetes whose ST was replaced by 30 min of light-intensity physical activity (LPA) and MVPA had 9% and 40% lower all-cause mortality, respectively. In people with diabetes, replacing sedentary behavior with an equivalent time of LPA and MVPA was also associated with mortality risk reduction (HR 0.89; 95% CI 0.84, 0.95 for LPA; HR 0.73; 95% CI 0.49, 1.11 for MVPA).

Conclusions Higher ST was associated in a dose–response manner with an increased risk of premature mortality among adults with prediabetes and diabetes. Statistically replacing ST with LPA was potentially beneficial for health in this high-risk population.

Keywords Sedentary behavior, Mortality, Isotemporal substitution model, Diabetes, Prediabetes

[†]Ping Zhu and Guojuan Lao contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Jianmin Ran ranjm@msn.com Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Diabetes affected more than 536 million people worldwide in 2021, and its prevalence is increasing [1, 2]. Prediabetes is associated with a greater risk of progression to diabetes. The global prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and impaired fasting glucose (IFG) in 2021 was 9.1% (464 million) and 5.8% (298 million), respectively, among adults aged 20-79 years [3]. The rising morbidity and mortality of prediabetes and diabetes underscore the need to identify additional modifiable risk factors such as physical inactivity and poor diet [4]. Over the past decades, sedentary behavior, defined as any waking activity with low energy expenditure while in a sitting, reclining, or lying position, has been increasing significantly in adults [5, 6]. Epidemiological evidence has indicated that sedentary duration is associated with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in general population [7-9]. Therefore, recent national and global activity guidelines for adults have recommended limiting sedentary behavior in addition to physical activity [5, 10].

Adults with diabetes are more likely to engage in prolonged sedentary behavior than those without diabetes, largely due to barriers related to their symptoms, such as fatigue and intolerance to exercise [11, 12]. Sedentary behavior is associated with endothelial dysfunction and low-grade inflammation biomarkers in individuals with prediabetes and diabetes [11]. Additionally, increased sedentary time in patients with diabetes is also associated with a higher prevalence of carotid plaque and incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) [13]. The American Diabetes Association also recommended that adults with diabetes should decrease the amount of time spent in daily sedentary behavior [14]. Nevertheless, evidence regarding sedentary behavior and mortality risk in this specific subpopulation with prediabetes and diabetes is scarce and limited to self-reported sedentary measures or short follow-up periods, which may be subject to measurement errors and reporting bias [15–17].

Isotemporal substitution analysis, a widely used timeuse statistical method in physical activity epidemiologic research, has been developed and applied to investigate the effect of replacing time spent in one behavior with another on health outcomes [18–21]. A prospective cohort study conducted on the general population, with a follow-up period of 15 years, found that substituting time spent engaged in light-intensity physical activity (LPA) or moderate- to vigorous-intensity PA (MVPA) with sedentary time increased the risk of all-cause mortality [20]. Emerging studies have indicated that the decreased risk of diabetes and all-cause mortality might be attributed to the replacement of sedentary behavior with physical activity in the general population [19, 22, 23]. In a recent study of adults with prediabetes and overweight/obesity from eight countries, Swindell et al. reported that replacing sedentary behavior with LPA or MVPA was associated with improved cardiometabolic risk markers [24]. However, the extent to which the substitution of sedentary behavior for physical activity reduces the risk of mortality among individuals with prediabetes and diabetes is largely unknown. Further understanding of the displacement effect of sedentary behavior with varying levels of physical activity on clinical outcomes would provide evidence for the development of targeted behavioral interventions in this vulnerable population.

The current study aimed, for the first time, to prospectively investigate the dose–response relationships between accelerometer-measured sedentary behavior and all-cause mortality risk in middle-aged and older adults with prediabetes and diabetes. To determine which kind of physical activity should be substituted for sedentary behavior to achieve health benefits, we also explored the effects of reallocating time spent in sedentary behaviors to LPA and MVPA on all-cause mortality using isotemporal substitution analyses.

Methods

Study population

The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) is a nationally representative study designed to characterize the health and nutrition condition of the US population. The integration of the NHANES with the National Death Index database is commonly used as a prospective cohort study. The data collection and sampling methods for the survey are described elsewhere [25]. Both the National Center for Health Statistics and the Ethics Review Board approved the NHANES protocol (#98–12 and #2005–06). Written informed consent was obtained from each subject.

Our study involved two cycles of NHANES data from 2003 to 2006. The analysis included individuals aged 40 or more years with prediabetes and diabetes. Diabetes was identified based on a self-reported physician diagnosis of diabetes and elevated levels of fasting glucose (\geq 7.0 mmol/L), 2-h glucose during oral glucose tolerance test (\geq 11.1 mmol/L), or HbA1c (\geq 6.5%). Prediabetes was defined as an individual without diabetes but meeting one or more of the following criteria: self-reported physician diagnosis of prediabetes, fasting glucose concentration of 5.6–6.9 mmol/L, 2-h glucose during oral glucose tolerance test of 7.8–11.0 mmol/L, or HbA1c levels of 5.7–6.4%.

A total of 1600 adults with prediabetes and 1321 with diabetes were recruited. In the principal analysis, 1242 participants with prediabetes and 1037 participants with diabetes were included after excluding those who self-reported pregnancy (n=35), lacked valid accelerometry

data (n = 604), or were lost to follow-up (n = 3) (Supplementary Fig. 1). This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline.

Sedentary behavior and physical activity

In this study, we measured sedentary behavior and physical activity using an AM-7164 accelerometer (ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL, USA). The methods used for collecting and managing accelerometer data are described in detail elsewhere [26]. In brief, eligible participants with no impairments preventing them from walking or wearing accelerometers were recruited during a physical examination at the mobile examination center. The accelerometer was worn on the hip for 7 consecutive days during waking hours, except when swimming or showering. Activity counts were recorded by the accelerometer in 1-min epochs, reflecting the intensity of body movement. At least 60 min of zero counts were required for non-wearing time, with no more than 2 min of counts between 1 and 100 allowed. An analysis was conducted on participants who recorded wear times of ≥ 10 h per day for at least 2 consecutive days. The daily time spent at different intensity levels was determined based on previously established cutoff methods [27, 28]. Each behavior was categorized using intensity threshold values of counts per minute (CPM) for adults: <100 for sedentary behavior, 100–2020 for LPA, and \geq 2020 for MVPA. Using the valid days that the accelerometer was worn, we estimated the means for each activity intensity category.

Ascertainment of mortality

The National Death Index, linked with NHANES, was used to determine all-cause and CVD mortality through December 31, 2019. We defined the follow-up period as the time between the baseline measure of physical activity and either study outcomes or the end of follow-up, whichever happened first. ICD-10 codes I00–09, I11, I13, I20–51, and I60–69 represented deaths from CVD.

Assessment of covariates

Baseline data from household interviews on age, sex, race/ethnicity, education level, family economic status, smoking status, alcohol consumption, and illness using standardized questionnaires were collected. In addition, data on body weight and height were obtained when participants were examined at a mobile examination facility. Body mass index (BMI) was determined as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters and then categorized into three groups. Diet quality was assessed using healthy eating index-2015 (HEI) scores, with a higher score suggesting a healthier diet. Mobility limitations were defined as an inability to walk a quarter mile or up 10 stairs. The duration of diabetes was calculated according to the number of years between diabetes onset and baseline assessment for patients who had been diagnosed with diabetes and 0 years for patients who had not yet been diagnosed. The samples were divided into low- and high-activity subgroups based on the median of total LPA and MVPA times [23, 26]. Cardiometabolic biomarkers were also measured at the baseline survey, and detailed instructions for blood collection were provided in the NHANES Laboratory Manual.

Statistical analyses

We utilized sample weights, pseudo-stratum, and primary sampling units to address the multistage sampling methodology used in the NHANES. Categorical variables are presented as n (weighted %) and continuous variables are presented as the weighted median (interquartile range). We compared the distribution of baseline characteristics between individuals with prediabetes and those with diabetes using the weighted χ^2 test and Kruskal– Wallis test, respectively.

The survival status of the participants across tertiles of sedentary time was determined by weighted Kaplan-Meier curves. Sedentary behavior (by tertiles) and mortality risk from all-cause and CVD were investigated with survey-weighted Cox proportional hazards models. The median value of each category was used as a continuous variable to examine the linear trends. We developed three multivariable-adjusted models to account for the possibility of confounding variables. Model 1 was adjusted for age (10-year intervals), sex (female or male), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic Mexican, or other), and total wear time (continuous). Model 2 was additionally modified for education level (less than high school, high school or equivalent, or college or above), family income-to-poverty ratio (<1.0, 1.0–3.0, or \geq 3.0), smoking status (never, former, or current smoker), alcohol consumption (yes or no), total cholesterol (TC) (continuous), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) (continuous), glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) (continuous), and prevalence of CVD, hypertension, or cancer (yes or no). Diabetes duration (<5 or \geq 5 years) and glucose-lowering medications (insulin, oral antidiabetic agents only, or neither) were specifically adjusted for patients with diabetes. Model 3 was additionally modified for BMI (<25.0, 25.0-29.9, or \geq 30.0 kg/m²) and MVPA (continuous). The missing data were coded as a separate category for variables with a missing rate greater than 5%; otherwise, missing values were imputed as medians for continuous variables or modes for categorical variables [29].

The Cox proportional hazards models were then repeated with full adjustment and stratification by age

(<65 or ≥65 years), sex (female or male), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white or others), smoking (never or ever), alcohol consumption (never or ever), BMI (<30 or ≥ 30 kg/m²), total physical activity level (low: <5.6 h/ day or high: ≥5.6 h/day for prediabetes; and <4.9 or ≥ 4.9 h/day for diabetes; median split), and HbA1c level (<7 or ≥7% for diabetes). A likelihood ratio test was used to identify the interactions between stratifying variables and sedentary time by comparing models with and without cross-product terms. A restricted cubic spline model with four knots (5th, 35th, 65th, and 95th) was used to examine the continuous dose–response relationship between sedentary time and mortality in people with prediabetes and diabetes, with the multivariate adjustment described above [30].

To determine whether physical activity at various intensities was a healthier alternative to sedentary behavior, we conducted isotemporal substitution analyses to investigate the effect of replacing sedentary time with equivalent amounts of LPA and MVPA on mortality risks [21]. The isotemporal substitution analyses make a more realistic assumption that an increase in one behavior will be accompanied by a decrease in the equivalent duration (isotemporal) of another behavior while the total time for all behaviors is fixed. Thus, a basic proportional hazards regression model was developed to measure the effect on all-cause mortality of replacing 30 min of sedentary behavior with LPA. The model incorporated LPA, MVPA, total wear time, and other covariates but omitted sedentary time. The resulting coefficients represented the consequence of reallocating time spent in sedentary behaviors to LPA or MVPA [31].

In sensitivity analyses, we excluded deaths within the first 2 years of follow-up to reduce the possibility of reverse causality. We reanalyzed the data after excluding those with a prevalence of CVD or cancer at baseline. We also reanalyzed the data after combining participants with prediabetes and diabetes. The multivariate model was additionally adjusted for mobility-limiting status and HEI-2015, which may influence the association of interest. Finally, to address whether the potential mediator, systemic inflammation, may have contributed to the observed association, C-reactive protein (CRP) was further adjusted for in a subgroup of the study individuals [32].

Statistical analyses were conducted using R software version 4.1.2. All statistical tests were two-sided, with $P \leq 0.05$ indicating statistical significance.

Results

Baseline characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the study participants with weighted estimates according to tertiles of sedentary time are shown in Table 1. We included 1242 participants with prediabetes (median age, 56.0 years; 45.6% female) and 1037 participants with diabetes (median age, 62.0 years; 49.7% female). Overall, people with greater sedentary time were more likely to be older and non-Hispanic white; have higher BMI and education levels; have prevalent hypertension and CVD; and show lower HDL-C. Participants with prediabetes tended to be non-Hispanic white, have lower sedentary time, and have higher LPA and MVPA. Conversely, participants with diabetes tended to be older, have lower education levels and family income, and have higher BMI and prevalent hypertension and CVD.

Sedentary behavior and all-cause mortality

The participants with prediabetes were followed for a median of 14.1 years (15,781 person-years), with 424 deaths from all-cause and 146 deaths attributable to CVD. Participants with diabetes had a median of 13.5 years of follow-up, accumulating a total of 11,925 person-years with 493 deaths from all-cause and 176 deaths from CVD.

with Among participants prediabetes, when expressed in tertiles, greater time spent in sedentary behavior was associated with increased all-cause mortality in the partially adjusted model (Table 2; Fig. 1). Adjustment for BMI and MVPA also had little impact on the risk estimate, with participants in the highest tertile of total sedentary time showing a 76% (HR 1.76; 95% CI 1.19, 2.60) higher risk of all-cause mortality than those in the lowest tertile (P for trend = 0.003). We observed parallel results in participants with diabetes, in which the risk increased to 1.24 (95% CI 0.88, 1.77) in the second tertile for sedentary time and additionally increased in the third tertile (HR 1.76; 95% CI 1.17, 2.65) compared with the reference (P for trend = 0.007) after adjusting for age; sex; socioeconomic status; total wear time; BMI; HbA1c level; diabetes duration; glucose-lowering medications; prevalent CVD, hypertension, or cancer; and time spent in MVPA.

We observed a dose–response relationship between sedentary time and the risk of all-cause mortality when sedentary time was expressed continuously (Fig. 2). Among participants with prediabetes, sedentary time was linearly associated with mortality (P-nonlinear=0.113). Every 60 min/day increment in sedentary time resulted in a 19% higher risk of mortality (HR 1.19; 95% CI 1.10, 1.30). A similar trend toward an association was noted in people with diabetes, with a 25% higher mortality risk for each 60 min/day increase in sedentary time (HR 1.25; 95% CI 1.12, 1.40).

Characteristic	Sedentary behav	/ior, h/day							*
	Prediabetes				Diabetes				
	Tertile 1 (N=414)	Tertile 2 (N = 414)	Tertile 3 (N= 414)	Total (<i>N</i> = 1242)	Tertile 1 (N= 346)	Tertile 2 (N = 345)	Tertile 3 (N = 346)	Total (N= 1037)	
Age, years	53.0 (47.0, 61.0)	58.0 (50.0, 69.0)	62.0 (51.0, 73.0)	56.0 (49.0, 68.0)	58.0 (50.0, 66.0)	62.0 (53.0, 71.0)	65.0 (56.0, 74.0)	62.0 (53.0, 71.0)	< 0.001
Female	213 (49.3)	193 (50.1)	160 (41.7)	566 (45.6)	173 (51.1)	180 (54.6)	162 (48.5)	515 (49.7)	0.094
Race/ethnicity									0.010
Non-Hispanic white	188 (70.0)	242 (78.5)	241 (76.2)	671 (54.0)	109 (59.5)	158 (71.7)	175 (71.2)	442 (42.6)	
Non-Hispanic black	92 (11.8)	88 (10.8)	91 (11.3)	271 (21.8)	75 (14.6)	89 (13.8)	104 (16.6)	268 (25.8)	
Hispanic Mexican	104 (8.8)	63 (3.4)	52 (3.5)	219 (17.6)	142 (15.3)	77 (5.4)	49 (3.9)	268 (25.8)	
Others	30 (9.4)	21 (7.3)	30 (9.0)	81 (6.5)	20 (10.6)	21 (9.1)	18 (8.3)	59 (5.7)	
Family income-poverty ra	cio								< 0.001
<1.0	62 (8.5)	46 (7.0)	56 (8.3)	164 (13.2)	84 (14.1)	66 (11.7)	50 (9.7)	200 (19.3)	
1.0-3.0	210 (45.6)	186 (35.3)	186 (38.2)	582 (46.9)	165 (46.8)	179 (49.3)	173 (44.6)	517 (49.9)	
≥ 3.0	142 (45.9)	182 (57.7)	172 (53.5)	496 (39.9)	97 (39.0)	100 (39.0)	123 (45.6)	320 (30.9)	
Education level									0.011
Less than high school	92 (11.6)	63 (8.5)	67 (8.6)	222 (17.9)	113 (16.7)	68 (11.6)	65 (11.7)	246 (23.7)	
High school or equivalent	182 (44.9)	153 (33.5)	152 (33.2)	487 (39.2)	131 (40.4)	157 (44.5)	130 (37.5)	418 (40.3)	
		100 (F0.0)	105 (50 1)	(0,01) 661			1 [1 (0 0)		
Conlege of above	140 (45.4)	(0.00) 061	(1.0C) CEI	(42.9)	102 (42.3)	120 (43.9)	(0.0C) 1C1	(0.05) 5/5	C E J Z
									/70.0
Never	198 (45.8)	179 (44.3)	187 (45.2)	564 (45.4)	162 (47.6)	158 (50.4)	158 (46.1)	478 (46.1)	
Former	127 (30.1)	170 (38.7)	158 (39.1)	455 (36.6)	114 (33.0)	134(32.7)	133 (36.6)	381 (36.7)	
Current	89 (24.1)	65 (17.0)	69 (15.7)	223 (18.0)	70 (19.4)	53 (16.9)	55 (17.2)	178 (17.2)	
Nondrinker	127 (27.7)	121 (27.7)	141 (33.1)	389 (31.3)	128 (36.6)	144 (41.2)	124 (34.1)	396 (38.2)	0.018
BMI, kg/m ²	29.6 (25.9, 34.2)	29.1 (25.7, 33.6)	29.1 (26.2, 32.1)	29.3 (26.0, 33.2)	29.7 (26.5, 33.6)	30.8 (26.8, 37.5)	31.2 (27.7, 36.9)	30.6 (26.8, 36.2)	< 0.001
HEI-2015	52.3 (45.0, 60.6)	54.0 (46.5, 62.0)	53.4 (44.0, 63.2)	53.1 (45.0, 62.0)	53.5 (44.1, 61.1)	54.2 (44.3, 65.2)	55.2 (47.1, 64.4)	54.2 (46.0, 63.9)	0.142
Wear time, h/day	13.1 (12.1, 14.3)	13.8 (12.8, 14.5)	14.7 (13.8, 16.0)	13.8 (12.7, 14.9)	13.0 (11.9, 13.9)	13.4 (12.3, 14.4)	14.4 (13.4, 15.8)	13.5 (12.5, 14.7)	0.121
Sedentary time, h/day	6.3 (5.5, 6.9)	8.1 (7.8, 8.6)	9.9 (9.4, 10.9)	8.0 (6.9, 9.3)	6.8 (6.0, 7.3)	8.5 (8.2, 8.9)	10.2 (9.7, 11.1)	8.6 (7.4, 9.7)	< 0.001
LPA, h/day	6.6 (5.6, 7.7)	5.3 (4.4, 6.2)	4.3 (3.3, 5.4)	5.4 (4.3, 6.7)	6.1 (5.0, 7.2)	4.7 (3.8, 5.7)	3.9 (3.1, 5.0)	4.8 (3.7, 6.0)	< 0.001
MVPA, min/day	16.7 (7.5, 32.0)	9.0 (3.0, 20.3)	5.8 (1.7, 19.7)	10.3 (3.5, 24.1)	11.5 (5.0, 22.4)	4.6 (2.0, 10.8)	3.2 (1.0, 9.4)	5.7 (1.9, 14.9)	< 0.001
HbA1c, %	5.7 (5.4, 5.9)	5.7 (5.4, 5.9)	5.8 (5.4, 5.9)	5.7 (5.4, 5.9)	6.6 (5.9, 7.5)	6.5 (5.9, 7.5)	6.7 (6.1, 7.5)	6.6 (6.0, 7.5)	< 0.001
Hypertension	180(41.9)	203(47.5)	220 (50.5)	603 (48.6)	214 (66.4)	232 (65.7)	258 (71.6)	704 (67.9)	< 0.001
CVD	44(9.1)	70(13.7)	85 (17.6)	199 (16.0)	73 (19.6)	99 (28.2)	140 (35.4)	312 (30.1)	< 0.001
Cancer	32(9.1)	67(14.8)	69 (15.1)	168 (13.5)	40 (14.0)	44 (14.0)	58 (18.2)	142 (13.7)	0.156
Data are n (%) for categori prediabetes (h/day): Tertile type indicates P <0.05. Abb	c variables and medi 1: <7.3; Tertile 2: 7.3 <i>reviate: BMI</i> body m	ian (interquartile range 3–9.0; Tertile 3:≥ 9.0; pa ass index, CVD cardiov) for continuous variabl rticipants with diabete: ascular disease, <i>HbA1 c</i> <u>g</u>	les. Percentage and me s: Tertile 1: <7.7; Tertile Jlycated hemoglobin A	cdian are adjusted for su 2: 7.7–9.3; Tertile 3:≥9. \1 c, HDL-C high-density	<pre>urvey weights of NHANE 3. *P values present the lipoprotein cholesterol,</pre>	 Tertiles of sedentary difference between predifference between predifference between predifference between bredifference between between	time: participants with ediabetes and diabetes. ex, <i>LPA</i> light-intensity pl	Boldface ŋysical
activity, MVPA moderate- t	o vigorous-intensity	physical activity, TC to	tal cholesterol						

Table 1 Characteristics of people with prediabetes and diabetes by tertiles of sedentary time

Table 2 Hazard ratios (95% CI) for all-cause mortality according to tertiles of sedentary time among people with prediabetes and diabetes

Characteristic	Sedentary beha	avior, h/day	P for trend	Per 60-min increment		
	Tertile 1	Tertile 2	Tertile 3			
Prediabetes (N = 1242)						
Median (IQR)	6.3 (5.5, 6.9)	8.1 (7.8, 8.6)	9.9 (9.4, 10.9)			
No. of deaths/total	87/414	149/414	188/414			
Model 1	1.00 (ref)	1.11 (0.75, 1.64)	2.01 (1.38, 2.94)	0.001	1.25 (1.14, 1.36)	
Model 2	1.00 (ref)	1.16 (0.76, 1.76)	1.98 (1.33, 2.93)	0.001	1.24 (1.13, 1.34)	
Model 3	1.00 (ref)	1.09 (0.70, 1.69)	1.76 (1.19, 2.60)	0.003	1.19 (1.10, 1.30)	
Diabetes (<i>N</i> = 1037)						
Median (IQR)	6.8 (6.0, 7.3)	8.5 (8.2, 8.9)	10.2 (9.7, 11.1)			
No. of deaths/total	127/346	156/345	210/346			
Model 1	1.00 (ref)	1.24 (0.85, 1.19)	1.84 (1.20, 2.82)	0.004	1.28 (1.16, 1.42)	
Model 2	1.00 (ref)	1.31 (0.92, 1.87)	1.80 (1.24, 2.62)	0.002	1.23 (1.12, 1.36)	
Model 3	1.00 (ref)	1.24 (0.88, 1.77)	1.76 (1.17, 2.65)	0.007	1.25 (1.12, 1.40)	

Model 1: Adjusted for age (10-year intervals), sex (female or male), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic Mexican, or others), and total wear time (continuous);

Model 2: model 1 adjustments + potential confounders;

Model 3: model 2 adjustments + BMI (< 25.0, 25.0–29.9, or \geq 30.0 kg/m²) and MVPA (continuous)

Potential confounders include education level (less than high school, high school or equivalent, or college or above), family income-to-poverty ratio (< 1.0, 1.0–3.0, or \geq 3.0), smoking status (never, former, or current smoker), alcohol consumption (yes or no), HbA1c level (continuous), TC level (continuous), HDL-C level (continuous), prevalence of CVD, hypertension, or cancer (yes or no). Diabetes duration (< 5 or \geq 5 years) and glucose-lowering medications (insulin, oral antidiabetic agents only, or neither) were specifically adjusted for patients with diabetes

Abbreviate: BMI body mass index, CVD cardiovascular disease, HbA1c glycated hemoglobin A1c; HDL-C high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, IQR interquartile range, MVPA moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity, TC total cholesterol



Fig. 1 Weighted Kaplan–Meier survival curves for overall mortality according to tertiles of sedentary time among people with prediabetes (**A**) and diabetes (**B**). Tertiles of sedentary time: participants with prediabetes (h/day): Tertile 1: < 7.3, Tertile 2: 7.3–9.0, Tertile 3: \geq 9.0; Participants with diabetes (h/day): Tertile 1: < 7.7, Tertile 2: 7.7–9.3, Tertile 3: \geq 9.3. Data are presented as numbers (weighted percentages) for cumulative survival



Fig. 2 Dose–response associations between sedentary time and all-cause mortality among people with prediabetes (**A**) and diabetes (**B**). A restricted cubic spline regression model with four knots (at the 5th, 35th, 65th, and 95th percentiles) was used to estimate the dose–response association of sedentary time with all-cause mortality. The reference was the median of the lowest tertile of sedentary time. The solid line and gray shading represent hazard ratios and 95% Cls, respectively. Models were adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, education level, family income-to-poverty ratio, smoking status, alcohol consumption, body mass index, glycosylated hemoglobin A1c level, total cholesterol level, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level, prevalent cardiovascular disease, prevalent hypertension, prevalent cancer, total wear time, and moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity. Diabetes duration and glucose-lowering medications were additionally adjusted for patients with diabetes. P-values for nonlinear associations are all ≥ 0.05

Subgroup analyses

When the analysis was stratified by total physical activity time, we observed a significant interaction between sedentary time and total physical activity time for allcause mortality in participants with prediabetes and diabetes (both P for interaction ≤ 0.05) (Fig. 3). The positive association between sedentary behavior and all-cause mortality was more evident among participants with lower activity levels, which were determined based on the sample-weighted median of total LPA and MVPA times. Additional analysis indicated that older adults (age ≥ 65 years) with prediabetes tended to have a higher all-cause mortality risk than younger participants (P for interaction = 0.02). No effect modification by sex, race/ ethnicity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, or BMI was identified (all P for interaction > 0.05).

Isotemporal substitution analyses

We investigated the association with mortality risk when statistically replacing 30 min of sedentary behavior with an equivalent time of LPA or MVPA using isotemporal models after adjusting for multiple covariates (Fig. 4). Overall, in adults with prediabetes, each 30-min substitution of reducing sedentary behavior by adding LPA was associated with a HR of 0.91 (95% CI 0.88, 0.95), and each 30-min substitution of sedentary behavior with MVPA resulted in a 40% reduction in all-cause mortality (HR 0.60; 95% CI 0.41, 0.87). Similarly, in participants with diabetes, replacing 30 min of sedentary behavior with an equivalent time of LPA and MVPA was also associated with all-cause mortality risk reduction (HR 0.89; 95% CI 0.84, 0.95 for LPA; HR 0.73; 95% CI 0.49, 1.11 for MVPA). On the contrary, replacing 30 min of MVPA with sedentary behavior was associated with a 67% higher mortality in adults with prediabetes (HR 1.67; 95% CI 1.14, 2.42) and a 36% higher mortality in adults with diabetes (HR 1.36; 95% CI 0.90, 2.05) (Supplementary Table 1).

Additionally, stratified analyses revealed that replacing 30 min of sedentary behavior with an equal amount of time was associated with an 11% risk reduction for LPA replacement (HR 0.89; 95% CI 0.83, 0.96) and a 46% risk reduction for MVPA replacement (HR 0.54; 95% CI 0.31, 0.94) in low-activity adults with prediabetes, while we observed an 18% risk reduction for LPA replacement and a 62% risk reduction for MVPA replacement in those low-activity adults with diabetes (HR 0.82; 95% CI 0.76, 0.89 for LPA, HR 0.38; 95% CI 0.14, 1.02 for MVPA). In contrast, among highly active individuals with prediabetes and diabetes, replacing sedentary behavior with either LPA or MVPA showed no mortality benefit.

Sensitivity analyses

The positive association between sedentary time and mortality was not significantly altered after excluding

A. Prediabetes	UD		D for	B. Diabetes			Dfor
Subgroup	(95%CI)		<i>F</i> for Interaction	(95%CI)			Interaction
Age, years		-			•		
<65	0.99 (0.79-1.23)		0.02	1.26 (1.05-1.51)		-	0.87
≥65	1.37 (1.25-1.49)			1.31 (1.15-1.50)	_	╉─	
Sex		_					
Male	1.12 (0.97-1.30)		0.06	1.27 (1.14-1.41)	- T	₽-	0.43
Female	1.32 (1.16-1.51)	-8-		1.31 (1.08-1.59)	_		
Race/ethnicity		_					
Non-Hispanic white	1.16 (1.05-1.29)		0.19	1.26 (1.10-1.45)	-	∎-	0.25
Others	1.37 (1.24-1.52)	-8-		1.26 (1.08-1.48)	-		
Smoking							
Never smoker	1.20 (1.06-1.37)	-8-	0.85	1.26 (1.07-1.50)	. –	∎	0.20
Ever smoker	1.19 (1.05-1.34)	-#-		1.24 (1.07-1.43)	-	-	
Drinking							
Never drinker	1.30 (1.14-1.48)		0.11	1.29 (1.09-1.52)		-	0.26
Ever drinker	1.12 (1.01-1.25)			1.18 (0.99-1.41)		—	
BMI, kg/m ²							
<30	1.28 (1.13-1.44)	-8-	0.09	1.22 (1.07-1.39)	-	⊩	0.71
≥30	1.09 (0.95-1.25)			1.17 (1.03-1.33)	-=	-	
Total physical activity,	h/day						
<4.9/5.6	1.30 (1.13-1.50)	-8-	0.05	1.49 (1.27-1.75)			0.03
≥4.9/5.6	0.93 (0.65-1.32)			0.90 (0.77-1.04)			
HbA1c, %							
<7	NA			1.31 (1.14-1.52)	_	∎	0.95
≥7	NA			1.27 (1.12-1.44)	-	-	
		0.5 1.0 1.5	2.0		0.5 1.0	1.5 2	2.0
		Hazard Ratio (95	5% CI)	1	Hazard Rat	io (95% (CI)

Fig. 3 Hazard ratios of all-cause mortality per 60-min increase in sedentary time stratified by potential risk factors among participants with prediabetes (**A**) and diabetes (**B**). Models were adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, education level, family income-to-poverty ratio, smoking status, alcohol consumption, body mass index, glycosylated hemoglobin A1c level, total cholesterol level, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level, prevalence of cardiovascular disease, prevalence of hypertension, prevalence of cancer, total wear time, and moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity except for the corresponding subgroup variables. Low- and high-activity subgroups were determined by sample-weighted medians of total physical activity time (LPA and MVPA). Diabetes duration and glucose-lowering medications were additionally adjusted for patients with diabetes

deaths within the first 2 years of follow-up or those who had prevalent CVD or cancer (Supplementary Tables 2–4). Similarly, the results remained unchanged when further adjusting for HEI, CRP or mobility limitations (Supplementary Table 5). Consistent findings were observed when samples were combined (Supplementary Table 6). Regarding CVD mortality, we also found that higher amounts of sedentary behavior were significantly associated with an increased mortality risk (Supplementary Table 7).

Discussion

In this prospective cohort of middle-aged and older individuals with prediabetes and diabetes, higher accelerometer-measured sedentary behavior was significantly associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality. Theoretically, replacing sedentary time with equivalent amounts of LPA or MVPA was related to lower all-cause mortality risks among individuals with prediabetes. Replacement of sedentary behavior with LPA reduced the risk of total mortality among those with diabetes.



Fig. 4 Hazard ratios for all-cause mortality according to isotemporal substitution of 30 min sedentary behavior with equivalent durations of LPA or MVPA among people with prediabetes and diabetes. Low- and high-activity subgroups were determined by sample-weighted medians of total physical activity time (LPA and MVPA). Models omitted the sedentary behavior component and adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, education level, family income-to-poverty ratio, smoking status, alcohol consumption, body mass index, glycosylated hemoglobin A1c level, total cholesterol level, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level, prevalence of cardiovascular disease, prevalence of hypertension, prevalence of cancer, and total wear time. Diabetes duration and glucose-lowering medications were additionally adjusted for patients with diabetes. Sedentary time was defined as < 100 cpm, LPA as 100–2020 cpm, and MVPA as \geq 2020 cpm. Boldface type indicates *P* <0.05. cpm, counts per minute; LPA, light-intensity physical activity; MVPA, moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity

Furthermore, the detrimental effects of sedentary behavior tended to be more prominent in participants with lower activity levels.

In the general population, it has been demonstrated that sedentary behavior is associated with all-cause and CVD mortality [8, 33]. A recent harmonized metaanalysis that included nine prospective cohort studies reported that high amounts of sedentary time were associated with higher risks of mortality, especially in individuals with low MVPA levels [7]. Prolonged sedentary behavior could adversely influence metabolic syndrome risk scores and contribute to impaired glucose metabolism, ultimately increasing the risk of incident diabetes [18, 34]. However, evidence regarding the potential health consequences of sedentary behavior is scarce among people with prediabetes and diabetes who are at higher risk of mortality. One prospective cohort study with self-reported data that included 15,645 low-income individuals with diabetes from the Southern Community Cohort Study showed that increased time spent in sedentary behavior was associated with a 21% greater risk of all-cause mortality [16]. However, in the only other prospective study of objectively measured sedentary data and mortality, which included 712 adults with diabetes, 134 deaths were recorded over a median follow-up of 6.6 years, and sedentary time showed no significant association with all-cause mortality after adjusting for diabetes duration or total physical activity [15]. Notably, the weakness of these studies was the self-reported measure of sedentary time or the short period of follow-up, which may have misrepresented the strength of the association between sedentary behavior and health risk [35].

In the present prospective study of a national cohort of people with prediabetes and diabetes, higher accelerometer-based time spent in sedentary behavior was linearly associated with a substantially increased risk of premature mortality. Furthermore, our findings indicated that the association of sedentary time with mortality was modified by the amount of physical activity accumulated and showed a stronger effect of sedentary behavior on all-cause mortality among low-activity adults with prediabetes and diabetes, whereas a high level of activity may mitigate the adverse consequences of sedentary behavior. This discrepancy may be attributed to different distributions of sedentary behavior, LPA, and MVPA between low- and high-activity participants. As was discovered in the general population, there was a significant interaction between sitting and MVPA for mortality risk [36].

Isotemporal substitution analyses consider the finite nature of time and the interrelationships among behaviors to estimate the benefits of reallocating time from one behavior to another [31]. Using these strategies in the general population, previous studies showed that replacing a modest amount of sitting with even LPA was associated with significant decreases in mortality risk among individuals who are less active [22]. The replacement of sedentary behavior with physical activity was recently shown to be associated with improved cardiometabolic health in individuals with prediabetes and diabetes [37– 39]. In the Early Activity in Diabetes (ACTID) study, which included 519 adults with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes, isotemporal substitution analyses showed that the replacement of sedentary behavior with the equivalent amount of LPA or MVPA had beneficial effects on BMI, waist circumference, and HDL-C [37]. In addition, a prospective cohort study including 808 adults at high risk of diabetes from the Walking Away from Type 2 Diabetes trial demonstrated that reallocating time away from sedentary behavior into LPA or MVPA was associated with improved cardiometabolic health, as determined by measurements of 2-h glucose results, TG levels, and clustered cardiometabolic risk scores [38].

Our study is the first to extend these previous findings by demonstrating that replacing 30 min of sedentary time with LPA resulted in a 9% reduction in all-cause mortality, whereas replacing it with MVPA resulted in more substantial mortality reductions in participants with prediabetes. We also showed that among individuals with diabetes, replacing 30 min of sedentary time with LPA reduced all-cause mortality by 11%. Each 30-min substitution of MVPA with sedentary time prominently increased the mortality risk compared to when sedentary time was substituted with MVPA. These findings indicated that the loss of MVPA had a more significant impact on mortality risk when compared to the gain of MVPA from sedentary time. In addition, we found that the health benefits of replacing sedentary behavior with physical activity were stronger among individuals who were less active. Interestingly, we did not observe greater benefits when replacing sedentary behavior with MVPA in adults with diabetes, which has also been identified in patients with chronic kidney disease or heart failure [40, 41]. One possible explanation for the inconsistency in risk reductions of all-cause mortality from LPA and MVPA among participants with diabetes might be partly due to differences in physiological mechanisms, as patients with diabetes are prone to sedentariness and are particularly susceptible to the adverse effects of sedentary behavior because of the high prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors [42, 43]. It is worth noting that when evaluating confidence intervals in the context of clinically important effect sizes, primary findings provide valuable guidance even if they do not reach statistical significance [44]. The survival benefit of replacing sedentary behavior with MVPA, yet non-significant results, may still have a potential benefit when the confidence interval overlaps with values indicative of a beneficial effect and covers mainly one direction of health outcomes. Prospective studies with larger sample sizes are needed.

Meeting the current recommendations for physical activity (e.g., intensity, types, and volume) remains a critical challenge, particularly among people with diabetes [45]. In this regard, our study offers an additional scientific alternative to improve health outcomes for this patient population, specifically for those who spend a considerable portion of their day in sedentary behavior and may be reluctant or unable to participate in MVPA. It may be feasible to encourage adults with diabetes to replace some sedentary behavior with more practical and accessible forms of physical activity that do not exceed the demands of daily living, such as standing, light gardening, and casual walking, rather than concentrating exclusively on promoting MVPA. In the future, lifestyle interventions targeting the shift from sedentary behavior to LPA among patients with diabetes may be promising research directions [10].

Notable strengths of our study include the populationbased sample from a well-characterized US national cohort. Moreover, sedentary behavior, which is generally the default behavior status for older persons with diabetes, was characterized using objective accelerometer data. However, several limitations warrant comment. First, given the observational design, exact conclusions about causality should be interpreted with caution. Second, we only recruited middle-aged and older participants who were at least 40 years of age at baseline, which restricted the generalizability of our findings to younger people with prediabetes and diabetes. Third, the lack of repeated measures of exposure variables might have influenced our results because of changes in behavior between baseline and follow-up. Fourth, Troiano et al. reported that accelerometer-measured physical activity declines across age groups [46]. We chose a unique cutpoint to categorize each behavior because of the lack of age-specific cut-points and our relatively small sample size. Fifth, the isotemporal substitution analysis only uses

statistical models to estimate mortality benefits for time trade-offs between activities, rather than physically substituting time in actual behavior, which should be confirmed by future well-designed interventional research. Sixth, the sedentary behavior data from the accelerometers worn on the hip in the current study may not capture all activities and is not as accurate as that worn on the thigh. Further investigation using posture-based devices to evaluate sedentary behavior is warranted. Finally, residual confounding may still be present, even after adjusting for a range of important confounding variables.

Conclusions

In a representative population-based sample of US individuals with prediabetes and diabetes, prolonged sedentary behavior was significantly associated with higher all-cause mortality. Statistically, replacing sedentary behavior with LPA would have a potential health benefit in this high-risk population. These findings highlight the important clinical and public health implications of reducing sedentary time and increasing physical activity tailored for people with prediabetes and diabetes.

Abbreviations

BMI	Body mass index
CI	Confidence interval
CPM	Counts per minute
CRP	C-reactive protein
CVD	Cardiovascular disease
HbA1c	Glycated hemoglobin A1c
HDL-C	High-density lipoprotein cholesterol
HEI	Healthy eating index
HR	Hazard ratio
LPA	Light-intensity physical activity
MVPA	Moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
ST	Sedentary time
STROBE	Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in
	epidemiology
TC	Total cholesterol

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-023-01488-0.



Acknowledgements

The authors thank the participants and staff of the NHANES for their dedication and contribution to the research.

Authors' contributions

P.Z. and J.G.L. organized all data and performed the statistical analyses. P.Z. and P.H.L. wrote the manuscript. S.R.T., M.J.R., and J.G. conceived and designed the study. P.Z., P.H.L., J.G.L., S.R.T., J.G., and M.J.R. participated in the interpretation and revision of the manuscript and approved the final version. P.Z. and M.J.R. are the guarantors of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in

the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81200613) and Guangzhou Municipal Science and Technology Project (SL2022A03J01360). The funding sources had no role in designing the study; in collecting, analyzing, and interpreting data; in writing the report; or in deciding to submit the manuscript for publication.

Availability of data and materials

The data sets that support the findings of the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Both the National Center for Health Statistics and the Ethics Review Board approved the NHANES protocol (#98–12 and #2005–06). Written informed consent was obtained from each subject.

Consent for publication

No applicable.

Competing of interests

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Author details

¹Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Guangzhou Red Cross Hospital, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China. ²Institute of Disease-Oriented Nutritional Research, Guangzhou Red Cross Hospital, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China. ³Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Sun Yat-Sen Memorial Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China. ⁴Department of Medical Statistics, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China.

Received: 2 May 2023 Accepted: 29 June 2023 Published online: 06 July 2023

References

- 1. Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, Pinkepank M, Ogurtsova K, Duncan BB, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2022;183: 109119.
- Wang L, Li X, Wang Z, Bancks MP, Carnethon MR, Greenland P, et al. Trends in prevalence of diabetes and control of risk factors in diabetes among US adults, 1999–2018. JAMA.2021; 326(8):1–13.
- Rooney MR, Fang M, Ogurtsova K, Ozkan B, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB, Boyko EJ, et al. Global prevalence of prediabetes. Diabetes Care. 2023;46(7):1388–94.
- ElSayed NA, Aleppo G, Aroda VR, Bannuru RR, Brown FM, Bruemmer D, et al. 3. Prevention or delay of type 2 diabetes and associated comorbidities: standards of care in diabetes-2023. Diabetes Care.2023; 46(Suppl 1):S41-S48.
- Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Br J Sports Med. 2020;54(24):1451–62.
- Yang L, Cao C, Kantor ED, Nguyen LH, Zheng X, Park Y, et al. Trends in sedentary behavior among the US population, 2001–2016. JAMA.2019; 321(16):1587–97.
- Ekelund U, Tarp J, Fagerland MW, Johannessen JS, Hansen BH, Jefferis BJ, et al. Joint associations of accelerometer-measured physical activity and sedentary time with all-cause mortality: a harmonised meta-analysis in more than 44 000 middle-aged and older individuals. Br J Sports Med. 2020;54(24):1499–506.
- Dunstan DW, Dogra S, Carter SE, Owen N. Sit less and move more for cardiovascular health: emerging insights and opportunities. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2021;18(9):637–48.

- Swain CTV, Bassett JK, Hodge AM, Dunstan DW, Owen N, Yang Y, et al. Television viewing time and all-cause mortality: interactions with BMI, physical activity, smoking, and dietary factors. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2022;19(1):30.
- Kanaley JA, Colberg SR, Corcoran MH, Malin SK, Rodriguez NR, Crespo CJ, et al. Exercise/Physical activity in individuals with Type 2 diabetes: a consensus statement from the American College of Sports Medicine. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2022;54(2):353–68.
- Vandercappellen EJ, Koster A, Savelberg H, Eussen S, Dagnelie PC, Schaper NC, et al. Sedentary behaviour and physical activity are associated with biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction and low-grade inflammation-relevance for (pre)diabetes: the Maastricht study. Diabetologia. 2022;65(5):777–89.
- 12. Martin CG, Pomares ML, Muratore CM, Avila PJ, Apoloni SB, Rodriguez M, et al. Level of physical activity and barriers to exercise in adults with type 2 diabetes. AIMS Public Health. 2021;8(2):229–39.
- Ke J, Li K, Ke T, Zhong X, Zheng Q, Wang Y, et al. Association of sedentary time and carotid atherosclerotic plaques in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes. 2022;14(1):64–72.
- Colberg SR, Sigal RJ, Yardley JE, Riddell MC, Dunstan DW, Dempsey PC, et al. Physical Activity/Exercise and diabetes: a position statement of the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care. 2016;39(11):2065–79.
- Loprinzi PD, Sng E. The effects of objectively measured sedentary behavior on all-cause mortality in a national sample of adults with diabetes. Prev Med. 2016;86:55–7.
- Glenn KR, Slaughter JC, Fowke JH, Buchowski MS, Matthews CE, Signorello LB, et al. Physical activity, sedentary behavior and all-cause mortality among blacks and whites with diabetes. Ann Epidemiol. 2015;25(9):649–55.
- Martínez-Gómez D, Guallar-Castillon P, Mota J, Lopez-Garcia E, Rodriguez-Artalejo F. Physical activity, sitting time and mortality in older adults with diabetes. Int J Sports Med. 2015;36(14):1206–11.
- Cao Z, Xu C, Zhang P, Wang Y. Associations of sedentary time and physical activity with adverse health conditions: outcome-wide analyses using isotemporal substitution model. EClinicalMedicine. 2022;48: 101424.
- Li X, Zhou T, Ma H, Liang Z, Fonseca VA, Qi L. Replacement of sedentary behavior by various daily-life physical activities and structured exercises: genetic risk and incident type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2021;44(10):2403–10.
- von Rosen P, Dohrn I-M, Hagströmer M. Association between physical activity and all-cause mortality: a 15-year follow-up using a compositional data analysis. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2020;30(1):100–7.
- Mekary RA, Willett WC, Hu FB, Ding EL. Isotemporal substitution paradigm for physical activity epidemiology and weight change. Am J Epidemiol. 2009;170(4):519–27.
- Rees-Punia E, Evans EM, Schmidt MD, Gay JL, Matthews CE, Gapstur SM, et al. Mortality risk reductions for replacing sedentary time with physical activities. Am J Prev Med. 2019;56(5):736–41.
- Diaz KM, Duran AT, Colabianchi N, Judd SE, Howard VJ, Hooker SP. Potential effects on mortality of replacing sedentary time with short sedentary bouts or physical activity: a national cohort study. Am J Epidemiol. 2019;188(3):537–44.
- 24. Swindell N, Rees P, Fogelholm M, Drummen M, MacDonald I, Martinez JA, et al. Compositional analysis of the associations between 24-h movement behaviours and cardio-metabolic risk factors in overweight and obese adults with pre-diabetes from the PREVIEW study: cross-sectional baseline analysis. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2020;17(1):29.
- Zhu P, Lao G, Chen C, Luo L, Gu J, Ran J. TSH levels within the normal range and risk of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality among individuals with diabetes. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2022;21(1):254.
- Matthews CE, Keadle SK, Troiano RP, Kahle L, Koster A, Brychta R, et al. Accelerometer-measured dose-response for physical activity, sedentary time, and mortality in US adults. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;104(5):1424–32.
- Dempsey PC, Strain T, Winkler EAH, Westgate K, Rennie KL, Wareham NJ, et al. Association of accelerometer-measured sedentary accumulation patterns with incident cardiovascular disease, cancer, and all-cause mortality. J Am Heart Assoc. 2022;11(9):e023845.
- Dempsey PC, Strain T, Khaw KT, Wareham NJ, Brage S, Wijndaele K. Prospective associations of accelerometer-measured physical activity and sedentary time with incident cardiovascular disease, cancer, and all-cause mortality. Circulation. 2020;141(13):1113–5.

- Han H, Wang Y, Li T, Feng C, Kaliszewski C, Su Y, et al. Sleep Duration and Risks of Incident Cardiovascular Disease and Mortality Among People With Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2023;46(1):101–10.
- Desquilbet L, Mariotti F. Dose-response analyses using restricted cubic spline functions in public health research. Stat Med. 2010;29(9):1037–57.
- Mekary RA, Lucas M, Pan A, Okereke OI, Willett WC, Hu FB, et al. Isotemporal substitution analysis for physical activity, television watching, and risk of depression. Am J Epidemiol. 2013;178(3):474–83.
- Booker R, Holmes ME, Newton RL, Norris KC, Thorpe RJ, Carnethon MR. Compositional analysis of movement behaviors' association on high-sensitivity c-reactive protein: the Jackson heart study. Ann Epidemiol.2022; 76.
- 33. Ekelund U, Tarp J, Steene-Johannessen J, Hansen BH, Jefferis B, Fagerland MW, et al. Dose-response associations between accelerometry measured physical activity and sedentary time and all cause mortality: systematic review and harmonised meta-analysis. BMJ.2019; 366:I4570.
- Bellettiere J, LaMonte MJ, Healy GN, Liles S, Evenson KR, Di C, et al. Sedentary behavior and diabetes risk among women over the age of 65 years: the OPACH study. Diabetes Care. 2021;44(2):563–70.
- Matthews CE, Moore SC, George SM, Sampson J, Bowles HR. Improving self-reports of active and sedentary behaviors in large epidemiologic studies. Exerc Sport Sci Rev. 2012;40(3):118–26.
- Stamatakis E, Gale J, Bauman A, Ekelund U, Hamer M, Ding D. Sitting time, physical activity, and risk of mortality in adults. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73(16):2062–72.
- Falconer CL, Page AS, Andrews RC, Cooper AR. The potential impact of displacing sedentary time in adults with type 2 diabetes. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2015;47(10):2070–5.
- Yates T, Edwardson CL, Henson J, Zaccardi F, Khunti K, Davies MJ. Prospectively reallocating sedentary time: associations with cardiometabolic health. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2020;52(4):844–50.
- 39. Balducci S, Haxhi J, Sacchetti M, Orlando G, Cardelli P, Vitale M, et al. Relationships of changes in physical activity and sedentary behavior with changes in physical fitness and cardiometabolic risk profile in individuals with type 2 diabetes: the Italian Diabetes and Exercise Study 2 (IDES_2). Diabetes Care. 2022;45(1):213–21.
- Beddhu S, Wei G, Marcus RL, Chonchol M, Greene T. Light-intensity physical activities and mortality in the United States general population and CKD subpopulation. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2015;10(7):1145–53.
- 41. Kim Y, Canada JM, Kenyon J, Billingsley HE, Arena R, Lavie CJ, et al. Effects of replacing sedentary time with physical activity on mortality among patients with heart failure: national health and nutrition examination survey follow-up study. Mayo Clin Proc. 2022;97(10):1897–903.
- 42. Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases C, Roth GA, Johnson CO, Abate KH, Abd-Allah F, Ahmed M, et al. The burden of cardiovascular diseases among US States, 1990–2016. JAMA Cardiol.2018; 3(5):375–89.
- Koyama T, Ozaki E, Kuriyama N, Tomida S, Yoshida T, Uehara R, et al. Effect of underlying cardiometabolic diseases on the association between sedentary time and all-cause mortality in a large Japanese population: a cohort analysis based on the J-MICC Study. J Am Heart Assoc. 2021;10(13): e018293.
- Hemming K, Taljaard M. Why proper understanding of confidence intervals and statistical significance is important. Med J Aust.2021; 214(3).
- Zhao G, Ford ES, Li C, Mokdad AH. Compliance with physical activity recommendations in US adults with diabetes. Diabet Med. 2008;25(2):221–7.
- Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical activity in the United States measured by accelerometer. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2008;40(1):181–8.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.